A man with grey hair and glasses, wearing a dark blue polo shirt, is leaning over a woman with dark hair, also in a dark blue polo shirt. They are both looking intently at a tablet computer. The man is holding a pen. The background shows a workshop or factory setting with blue machinery.

CHANGING HOW WE EDUCATE ENGINEERS IN INDUSTRY

Michelle Unger > ROSEN Group

INTRODUCTION

All professional engineers make a commitment to maintain and enhance their competence by undertaking 'Continuing Professional Development (CPD)'. CPD is the process of managing, and documenting the skills, knowledge and experience that a member of staff gains, both formally and informally. It is not simply training (learning how to do something, such as a skill) as it includes knowledge (understanding gained through experience or study), and experience (experience is the process of obtaining knowledge and skills from doing and/or participating in relevant projects).

Most of this CPD is informal learning during a working life, complemented by structured activities such as training courses [1]. This professional development is important to staff: professional development is the third most important factor for employees when evaluating their role [2].

Traditionally, this CPD has been provided by employers, but during periods of recession, there are often reductions in structured activities such as training courses; for example, the recent recession in the oil and gas industry has seen training course numbers and attendance plummet by over 75 percent. Add to this problem the continuing widening of the skills/experience gap in this industry as baby boomers retire (Figure 1), and it is not surprising that 36 percent of employers say that a lack of succession planning for knowledge transfer and skills retention is a contributing factor in their skills shortages [2].

Knowledge transfer seems an obvious attraction to workers, and a means of solving skills shortages. But it is not that easy... the current younger generations of engineers are inclined to move jobs more often: in the USA, the average tenure of workers aged 55 to 64 was 10.1 years, more than three times the 2.8 years of workers aged 25 to 34 [3]. This short tenure can both disrupt CPD, and also make employers cautious about spending time and money on knowledge transfer and CPD. This means that management of competence through CPD is becoming more and more difficult, in parallel with it becoming more important.




Figure 1: Increasing Skill/Age Gap in the Oil and Gas Industry [2]

COMPETENCE AND EDUCATION

Competence is the ability to undertake responsibilities, and to perform activities to a recognized standard. It is a combination of practical and thinking skills, experience, and knowledge, with a heavy bias on experience in the engineering professions, Figure 2 [4-6]. Developing and maintaining competencies involves training, mentoring (coaching), and experience: typically this is made up of 10 percent training, 20 percent mentoring, and 70 percent experience, Figure 2. The definition of competence must also include 'values' or 'behaviors'. All these components of competency have overlap and dependency; for example, 'knowledge' is understanding gained through experience or study.

The role of CPD is to maintain competencies, and it is clear that this involves a mix of training, mentoring, and experience: it is not training alone.



Figure 2: Key Elements of Competency and How it is Gained

EDUCATING OUR ENGINEERS IS IMPORTANT...

Inadequate management of competence has contributed to disasters; therefore, competency management is critical [7]. Accordingly, industries are now requiring a more formal approach to competence and CPD. For example, engineering standards (e.g., [8]) and federal regulations (e.g., [9]) explicitly require engineers to be both competent and qualified in all the tasks they perform.

These requirements are supported by past major incidents: they show that the lack of certain skills or knowledge led to errors that contributed to the incident [10]. It had been assumed that:

- an individual with a certain level of experience or training would be competent; and/or,
- the dissemination of a procedure would be sufficient.

CHANGING HOW WE EDUCATE OUR ENGINEERS IN INDUSTRY: 'COMPETENCY STANDARDS'

Competency is a statement of desired knowledge, skills, and behaviors, but a competency:

- must meet an agreed standard;
- must be updated as competencies can deteriorate, or become dated with time, leading to a drift into incompetence ('competency decay'); and,
- must be continually assessed, as evidence is needed that it is being absorbed by the personnel ('demonstrable competencies').

Therefore, staff must:

- have their competencies assessed in relation to a standard; and,
- 'demonstrate' they are competent ('demonstrable' means supported by tangible evidence).

The assessment could be made based on examination, interview, performance, etc., but it must be formal and recorded. This means our CPD must relate to a 'competency standard' (e.g., [11-19]) that captures all the skills, knowledge and experience requirements of the competency.

The competencies of a job holder need to be assessed in relation to this defined standard to ensure validation. 'Competency standards' provide a common definition competency, along with its minimum requirements. It is best to keep these standards simple, measurable, and auditable. Hence, the competency standard must detail 'outcomes': what the job holder will be able to do in some measurable way (there may be more than one measurable outcome defined for a given competency).

These outcomes should cover:

- 'ability'...
is able to do a task (this is 'skill');
- 'understanding'...
is able to understand and explain the task (this is 'knowledge');
- 'supervision'...
is able to manage staff with these abilities and/or understanding;
- 'training'...
is able to train staff with these abilities and/or understanding, and/or supervisory abilities.

The outcomes should be clear, detail the expected characteristics of the competency, and be phrased so as to allow an assessment: the outcomes infer the assessment criteria.

A typical competency standard would contain the detail presented in Table 1 [11-19].

The standard will also specify the method of assessment (e.g., by examination), and how long the competency is valid (i.e., when does it require reassessment).

A simple approach to writing competency standards allows for an easy guide and rapid adoption; therefore, competency standards need to be short (for example, limit competency descriptions to a single sentence).

ASSESSMENT USING A COMPETENCY STANDARD

Staff can be assessed in relation to the competency standard. First, the assessment of a competency will require the candidate to provide evidence of competencies, achievement, and qualifications. This evidence is essential, and should be tangible (e.g., examination results, or references), rather than intangible only (e.g., self-assessment).

Where evidence is not sufficient, the member of staff will require a formal assessment. The assessment should be conducted by comparing the required competencies for the job with those possessed by the candidate.

Competency standards give the necessary detail of the competency, and its level (e.g., Awareness to Expert). This allows a simple assessment in relation to the standards.



VERSATILE.

Always a leading innovator, we supply customers with cutting-edge diagnostic and system integrity solutions. This, bound with our focus on flexibility, reliability, cost and quality, leads to offerings beyond your expectations.

| | |
|--|--|
| Competency number. | e.g., O10 |
| Competency title. | e.g., 'Onshore Pipeline Design'. |
| Competency level. | e.g., 'Awareness, Foundation, Practitioner, or Expert'. |
| Competency description. | e.g., 'The underlying principles, concepts, and technical parameters in onshore pipeline design, giving the individual an all-round understanding of pipeline design processes'. |
| Competency purpose | e.g., 'Give the individual the ability to design oil and gas pipelines, using prescribed standards'. |
| Competency outcomes. | Knowledge, understanding, skills, etc., are summarized in 'outcomes'. 'Outcomes' state what the holder should know, understand, value, or be able to do when they gain the competence (e.g., 'Can discuss pipeline design (front end engineering, and detailed design) principles, standards and regulations, and can outline and summarize the basics of the key contents of design standards including design for strength and fatigue'.). |
| Academic and professional qualifications. | The qualifications required to be considered before attempting to satisfy this standard; e.g., BSc or MSc, CEng or PEng. |
| Pre-requisites. | The required knowledge or conditions that should be satisfied before being considered for this competence (e.g., other competencies). A pre-requisite is a recommendation before attempting the competence, and may contribute to the competence being considered; for example, it may satisfy elements of the competence being taken. |
| Co-requisites. | A co-requisite is a recommendation that should be taken at the same time (e.g., other competencies). Co-requisites usually contain information needed to allow the specified competence to be achieved, and may contribute to the competence being considered; for example, it may satisfy elements of the competence being taken. |
| Skills and knowledge elements of the competency. | <p>Skills (e.g., 'Onshore pipeline design principles and processes').</p> <p>Knowledge (e.g.):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility studies, conceptual design, front end engineering design, detailed design. • Permits and quality plans. • Environmental impact of pipelines. • Routing (land purchase, land rights). • Construction and testing in a variety of environments (rural, mountainous, swamps, etc.), crossings, and construction costs. • Selection and properties of pipeline bends, components, and installations. • Substance and location classification, proximity distances, design factor, safety factors, stress calculations (including thermal and external loads, and fatigue), and equivalent stresses. • Theory of pipeline sizing and wall thickness calculations. • Pressure testing. • Materials selection, including line pipe types, effect of mechanical properties, and corrosion allowance. • Pipeline coatings and cathodic protection. |
| Training/mentoring [11]/experience recommended to gain competency. | Specify type, and timeline. |
| Assessment method. | Self-assessment, examination, performance, interview, etc.. |
| Reassessment interval (years) and method. | e.g., 5 years. |
| Supervision. | Can the individual work on this competency with or without supervision? |

Table 1: Typical Contents of a Competency Standard

The assessment should be conducted by a suitably qualified, and independent body. There are various methods of assessing competencies, including: Self-assessment; Performance; Examination; and, Interview. The competency standard will recommend a suitable assessment method.

SUMMARY

Continuing Professional Development is the process of managing, and documenting the skills, knowledge and experience that a member of staff gains both formally and informally. This wide remit can be simply described as developing and maintaining a staff member's competence: competence is the ability to perform a task to a specified level and it is demonstrated by appropriate levels of training, knowledge, skill, and experience.

Engineering standards and government regulations are now explicitly requiring engineers to be both competent and qualified in all the tasks they perform. This means that CPD has both a wide scope, and an increasingly important scope.

'Competency standards' provide a common definition of a competency, along with its minimum requirements. Competencies can be assessed against these standards.

The contents of a competency standard should clearly state its purpose and outcomes, and detail the knowledge, training, mentoring, and experience requirements, and an assessment method. Individuals who pass the assessment are qualified in this competency (as they have been assessed and have tangible evidence).

CPD using competency standards satisfies both the ethos of CPD, and requirements in standards and regulations. It will also assist in transferring knowledge to future generations and address a recognized skills gaps in many industries.

References

1. <http://www.engc.org.uk/UKSPEC>.
2. Anon., 'Oil & Gas Global Salary Guide: The 2016 Compensation, Recruitment and Retention Guide for the Oil and Gas Industry' Hays. hays-oilgas.com. 2016.
3. K Hope, 'How long should you stay in one job?' BBC News. 1 February 2017. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-38828581>
4. M Unger, P Hopkins, 'Competency' in Engineering', 28th International Pipeline Pigging and Integrity Management Conference Houston, USA, February 8-11, 2016.
5. M Unger, P Hopkins, 'The Lost Art of Mentoring', World Pipelines, December, 2015.
6. M Unger, P Hopkins, 'A Qualification Route Map for the Pipeline Industry', Pipeline Pigging & Integrity Management Conference. 27 February 2017 to 2nd March 2017. George R. Brown Convention Centre, Houston, USA.
7. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/humanfactors/topics/competence.htm>
8. Anon., 'Oil and gas pipeline systems', CSA Z662-15, Canadian Standards Association. 2015.
9. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/construction/cdm/faq/competence.htm>
10. Anon., 'Competence assessment for the hazardous industries', UK's Health and Safety Executive. Research Report O86. Her Majesty's Stationery Office. UK. 2003. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rtrpdf/r086.pdf>
11. Anon., 'Competency Framework'. Institution of Gas Engineers and Managers. IGEN/TD/102. Communication 1761. June, 2012.
12. C Harvey et al, 'APGA's Pipeline Engineers Competency Framework – a Fully Fledged Framework with International Appeal', APGA Convention and Exhibition. Perth, Australia. 2016. Also, Anon., 'APIA Pipeline Engineer Competency Standards', Australian Pipeline Industry Association. Revision A. 2010. <http://www.apga.org.au/training/files/2012/05/APGA-Competency-Standards-Onshore-MASTER-List-26052016.pdf>
13. Anon., 'UK-SPEC UK Standard for Professional Engineering Competence', Engineering Council, UK. Third edition. 2013. www.engc.org.uk.
14. <http://www.opito.com/media/downloads/competence-assessment-and-verification-guidelines.pdf>. OPITO, the Offshore Petroleum Industry Training Organisation.
15. Anon., 'Pipeline Personnel Qualification', ASME B31Q-2016. ASME. 2016.
16. Anon., 'Competency Matrices', Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://www.spe.org/training/competency.php>
17. Anon., 'Subsea Engineering Competency Assessment Framework', Joint Industry Project (members only), Wood Group Kenny. <http://www.ulmarinealliance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Bev%20Machenzie%20MASRWG%20presentation.pdf>
18. Anon., 'Competence Assessment Portfolios', International Marine Contractors Association. <https://www.imca-int.com/core/competence-training/competence/assessment-portfolios/>
19. Anon., 'UKOPA Competency Framework Document'. UKOPA/2002/0076. September 2002. <http://www.ukopa.co.uk/pdfs/UKOPA-02-0076.pdf>

Authors

Michelle Unger

ROSEN Group

Head of Group Business Line -
Education Systems and Services
munger@rosen-group.com

